Rural NEW YORK COMMUNITIES TARGETED for HIGH-VOLUME PIPELINES

to MOVE NATURAL GAS OUT OF PENNSYLVANIA to SERVE URBAN NEW ENGLAND AND EXPORT MARKETS.

The El Paso Pipeline Group, largest interstate gas pipeline corporation in the US, proposes to build a pipeline to transport Pennsylvania Marcellus gas through our region to urban New England and export markets. It will seek permits from state and federal agencies. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) describes its priorities:

"the Commission must continue to respond quickly when companies propose to expand and construct needed pipelines and related facilities. The Commission has expedited the certification of natural gas pipelines by having Commission staff actively participate in projects that were using the pre-filing process..."

FERC and the state agencies will rubberstamp El Paso's application.

El Paso expects to have permits in hand by the end of 2013.

ISSUANCE of the FERC PERMIT

AUTOMATICALLY ALLOWS EL PASO

to USE EMINENT DOMAIN

FEDERAL PERMITTING PROCESSES STYMIE LOCAL CONTROL

"We were never forewarned . . . by any of our representatives in the upper levels of government . . .

"[Tennessee Gas Pipeline briefed DEC and governor's office on this project in December 2011] . . . they gave us a 9x12 sheet of paper . . . no one could decipher exactly where the line was running through the county, let alone the towns . . .

"We asked if they had sought any advice from County Planning and the answer to that was 'No', and they also informed us that on the 10^{th} of February they were looking to start canvassing our residents in an attempt to secure a 50-foot right-of-way [50-foot permanent easement, 75- to 100-foot construction easement] and suggested a one-time compensation for this right-of-way which nothing could ever be built on . . .

"... they also made it well known that under the Federal [Natural] Gas Act [of 1938], there was little, if anything, we could do to stop this, and that if there were any type of resistance from the property owners that eminent domain would probably be instituted..."

Schoharie Town Supervisor Gene Milone

http://www.publicbroadcasting.net/wamc/news.newsmain/article/1/0/1906818/WAMC.New.York.News/Schoharie.Town.Supervisor.Gene.Milone.-.%27Constitution.Pipeline%27.Proposal

www.DamascusCitizens.org

PIPELINES



Pipeline easements are forever. Many leases do not specify the location of the easements.

The El Paso Pipeline Group /
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.
NORTHEAST EXCHANGE PROJECT
(NEx)

Government conspires with corporations to

Guarantee permits
Use eminent domain
Divide communities

— GEUSSI SI TIMRE SHIP HELLER THE

WILL FERC HOLD PIPELINE OPERATORS ACCOUNTABLE?

"... Laser reached an agreement with the client of an environmental law firm, Earthjustice, to make safety and environmental upgrades to the pipeline in return for support before the PUC [Pennsylvania Utilities Commission].

"In an interview, Earthjustice attorney Deborah Goldberg said her group was concerned that, unlike federal regulators, the PUC would grant firms eminent-domain rights, yet impose few environmental controls. Before the owner of the Marc 1 line, Central New York Oil & Gas, won approval, it similarly assured federal regulators it would minimize the use of eminent domain.

"In a critical letter to FERC last month, Goldberg, of Earthjustice, cited the recent flurry of Marc 1 condemnation filings. 'The number of condemnation applications and the speed at which they were submitted raises significant doubt about whether [the pipeline's owner] in fact was negotiating with property owners in good faith,' she wrote.

"Marc 1's owner said it still hoped to reach amiable deals with property owners, but that it was facing pressure because the line won approval later than the firm expected. The firm also said it had to file quickly to start the legal clock ticking for the actions."

http://www.philly.com/philly/news/special packa ges/inquirer/marcellus-shale/20111213_Eminentdomain questions divide even pipeline compa nies.html?viewAll=y#ixzz1gRYE4TsMdf

WHAT the CORPORATION /
GOVERNMENT / LANDOWNER
COALITIONS WILL HIDE Or DE-EMPHASIZE
ABOUT this PROJECT to
NEUTRALIZE OPPOSITION

 This pipeline depends on the corporate exercise of eminent domain, the shadow background to "negotiations" between the biggest pipeline company in the nation and individual landowners.

 Compressor stations — also to be sited by eminent domain — can be added after the line is permitted and are not part of the permit application. Route B is 115 miles long and booster compression stations are typically spaced every 40 to 80 miles. The company omits necessary compressors to defuse local opposition.

Once a pipeline is built and the volume of gas it carries increases, it's only a question of where the compressors will be built. Additional compressor stations are now being proposed for the Millennium Pipeline.

 Such trunklines are typically built with a larger diameter pipe than will be needed initially but with compression limited to meeting current needs. Compressors can be added, in either new or existing stations, to increase capacity as growth in stations, to occurs.

Standard design codes require pipelines
that pass through populated areas reduce
maximum operating pressure for safety
reasons — but not through rural areas.

Tennessee Gas Pipeline (TGP) is the El Paso subsidiary that wants to build and operate the NEx

WHAT'S its REPUTATION?

As of November 2011, "Out of 294 companies named in enforcement cases since the beginning of 2007, Tennessee Gas was 15th on the list with nine separate cases," according to the U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration website.

http://osd.gov.com/osd/201111 November/DHS
Daily Report 2011-11-21.pdf

"Homeowners affected by the recent construction of an El Paso line in NJ are apprehensive over what they say are ongoing environmental problems in their community. Elaine Buchtman and others who live at Lake Lookover fear that the Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (TGP) will not correct existing problems that they say came with the problems that they installation ...

" 'We have already lost one season at our lake that can never be returned to us. Come spring 2012 we still may not be able to swim or otherwise enjoy our recreational lake for another season.' "

"The company is requiring justification for compensation money the people feel is due to them because of hardships they suffered from the pipeline project."

http://www.**northjersey.com**/news/136126193 Residents say El Paso yet to fix ongoing proble